**Example of Energy Priority Ranking Table**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Evaluation/ Audit Recommen-dation or Potential Energy Improvement** | **Operation or**  **Location** | **Type of Energy**  **Used** | **Ranking Criteria to Set Priorities**  **(Examples Only—Use these and/or create your own criteria)** | | | | | | | |
|  |  |  | **Current Associated Energy Use**  1 = L  3 = M  5 = H | **Feasibility of Implementation**  1=Not feasible  3 = feasible  5 = Very feasible | **Potential to get Incentives/ Rebate/ Funding**  1 =Low  3 =Medium  5 =High | **Rate of Return on Investment**  1 = More than 5 years  3 = 5 years  5 = Less than 3 years | **Regulated?**  1 = Yes and compliance issues exist  3 =Yes  5=No | **Effect on Operations**  1 = Negative  3 = Neutral  5 = Beneficial | **Other** | **Total**  **Score** |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Adapted by Madeline Snow, UMass Lowell, from *Ensuring a Sustainable Future: An Energy Management Guidebook for Wastewater and Water Utilities,* EPA, January 2008, p. 40.